

Table III

Operative procedure	Reference	Summary
Open surgery versus cryostripping	<p><i>Abstracts corresponding to references can be found using the listing "RCTs by alphabetical order" or "RCTs by topic."</i></p> <p>Menyhei G, Gyevnar Z, Arato E, Kelemen O, Kollar L. Conventional stripping versus cryostripping : a prospective randomised trial to compare improvement in quality of life and complications. <i>Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.</i> 2008;35:218-23.</p>	<p>Group I (N=86): OS versus Group II (N=79): HL+ cryostripping Results at 6 months of follow-up:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · No difference between group I and II in terms of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Postoperative pain ○ Clinical results. · Less hematoma in group II compared with group I (P=0.01)
	<p>Klem TMAL, Schnater JM, Schütte PR, Hop W, van der Ham AC, Wittens CHA. A randomized trial of cryostripping versus conventional stripping of the great saphenous vein. <i>J Vasc Surg.</i> 2009;49:403-409.</p>	<p>Group I (N=245): OS versus Group II (N=249): HL+ cryostripping Results at 6 months of follow-up: No difference between the 2 groups</p>

Abbreviations:

HL= High ligation ; OS= Open surgery: High ligation + Saphenous stripping+/- Perforator ligation +/- Tributary phlebectomy ;