

Table XXVIII.

Operative procedure	Reference <i>Abstracts corresponding to references can be found using the listing "RCTs by alphabetical order" or "RCTs by topic."</i>	Summary
<p style="text-align: center;">RFA versus cyanoacrylate embolization (CAE)</p>	<p>Morrison M, Gibson K, McEnroe S, Goldman M, King T, Weiss R et al. Randomized trial comparing cyanoacrylate embolization and radio frequency ablation for great saphenous veins (VeClose). J Vasc Surg 2015;61:985-994</p>	<p>222 patients with symptomatic GSV primary VV Group I (N=108): CAE no anesthesia Group II (N=114): RFA tumescent anesthesia Results During the procedure. Pain similar in both groups .On 1à points VAS scale CAE 2.2 . P=0.11 RFA 2.4 At day 3 to 3 months of follow-up: At 3 days less ecchymosis compared to RFA. (P<0.01). At 3 months closure rate CAE 99% RFA 96%</p>
	<p>Kolluri R, Gibson K, Cher D, Madsen M ,Weiss R, Morrison N. Roll-in phase analysis of clinical study of cyanoacrylate closure for incompetent great saphenous veins. JVS Venous and Lymp Dis. 2016;4:407-15.</p>	<p>222 patients with symptomatic GSV primary VV treated in 10 US centers The first two subjects at each participating site (n. 20) were roll-in cases (ie, not randomized but instead treated with CAE) to ensure the physician's familiarity with the procedure Group I (N=108): CAE no anesthesia Group II (N=114): RFA tumescent anesthesia Results Mean procedure time was longer in the roll-in group (31 minutes) compared with the randomized groups (24 minutes for CAE and 19 minutes for RFA; P < .0001) There was no difference in intraprocedural pain between the roll-in and randomized groups as well as others clinical assessments, including quality of life improvement and adverse events</p>
	<p>Morrison M, Gibson K, Vasquez M, Weiss R, Cher D, Massen M et al. VeClose trial 12-month outcomes of cyanoacrylate closure versus radiofrequency ablation for incompetent saphenous veins. JVS, V&L 2017;3;322-31</p>	<p>222 patients with symptomatic GSV primary VV Group I (N=108): CAE no anesthesia Group II (N=114): RFA tumescent anesthesia Results at 12 M. (N=95 CAE, N= 97 RFA) <i>Occlusion rate</i> Group I = 97.2% Group II= 97.0% <i>Symptoms and quality of life</i> improved equally in both groups. Most adverse events were mild to moderate and not related to the device or procedure.</p>

Abbreviations

CAE= cyanoacrylate embolization; GSV = great saphenous vei ; M= month; N= number; RFA= radiofrequency ablation

© Les Laboratoires Servier

All rights reserved. No part of this document may be translated, reprinted, reproduced or used in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from Servier.