Table I. Classic open surgery versus conservative treatment in C2s or C3 patients.

4 articles. 2 RCTs

Same underlined color means same RCT

Operative procedure	Reference	Summary
Classic open surgery <i>versus</i> conservative treatment	Michaels JA, Brazier JE, Campbell WB, MacIntyre JB, Palfreyman SJ, Ratcliffe J. Randomized clinical trial comparing surgery with conservative treatment for uncomplicated varicose veins. <i>Br J Surg</i> . 2006;93:175-81	Monocenter study 246 patients presenting primary VV with reflux in the saphenofemoral or and the saphenopopliteal junction reflux. No data on deep veins CEAP clinical classification C2S Group I (n=122): conservative treatment (Lifestyle advice+ compression)
	Michaels JA, Campbell WB, Brazier JE, et al. Randomised clinical trial, observational study and assessment of cost-effectiveness of the treatment of varicose veins (REACTIV trial). <i>Health</i> <i>Technol Assess</i> . 2006;10(13):1-196	versus Group II (n=124): open surgery (OS) Results at 2 years of follow-up: Group II > Group I regarding: HRQoL improvement (P=0.083) Symptoms relief (aching, heaviness, itching, swelling, cosmetic concerns, P<0.05)
	Ratcliffe J, Brazier JE, Campbell WB, Palfreyman SJ, MacIntyre JB, Michaels JA. Cost effectiveness analysis of surgery versus conservative treatment for uncomplicated varicose veins in a randomized control trial. <i>Br J Surg</i> .	 Anatomical extent of the veins (P<0.01) <u>Conclusion</u> Standard surgical treatment of varicose veins by saphenofemoral ligation, stripping and multiple phlebectomies is a clinically effective and cost-effective treatment for severe varicose veins. Injection sclerotherapy appears to be also cost-effective, but produces fewer overall benefits, with a higher incremental cost-effectiveness ratio than surgery for

<mark>2006;93:182-6.</mark>	patients with superficial venous reflux. In minor varicose veins without reflux, sclerotherapy is likely to provide a small average benefit with acceptable cost-
	effectiveness.
Sell H, Vikatamaa P, Albäck A,	Multi-center study
Lepäntello M, Malmivaraa A,	153 symptomatic patients presenting VV with reflux in GSV
Mahmoud O, Vernemo M.	or/and SSV.
Compression therapy versus surgery	No data on deep veins
in the treatment of patients with	CEAP clinical classification C2-C3
varicose veins: a RCT. <i>Eur J Vasc</i>	Group I (n=77): conservative treatment including medical
Endovasc Surg. 2014: 47(6):670-77.	compression
	versus
	Group II (n=76): open surgery (OS)
	Results at 2 years of follow-up:
	Group I: VCSS decreased from 4.6 to 3.5, and VSDS decreased
	from 7.7 to 7.0, while HRQoI was unchanged
	Group II: VCSS decreased from 4.8 to 0.6; P=0.01, and VSDS
	decreased from 8.2 to 0.9; P=0.0001, while HRQoL improved
	significantly.

Abbreviations: HRQoL = Health Related Quality of Life; GSV=great saphenous vein;n=number; OS = Open Surgery: saphenofemoral or/and saphenopopliteal junction ligation+ stripping, +/- perforator ligation+/- tributary phlebectomy ; SSV=small saphenous vein; VCSS= Venous clinical Severity Score; VSDS= Venous segmental Disease Score; VV= varicose veins