

Table XI. Classic open surgery versus RFA versus EVLA

1 article. 1 RCT

Operative procedure	Reference	Summary
<p>Classic open surgery versus EVTA (EVLA, RFA)</p>	<p>Dzieciuchowicz L, Espinosa G, Páramo JA. Hemostatic activation and Inflammatory response after three methods of treatment of great saphenous vein incompetence. <i>Phlebology</i> 2014;29:154–163.</p>	<p>Multi-center study. 45 patients presenting with primary GSV incompetence treated by OS or RFA or EVLA. No data on SSV or CEAP Class classification Group I (n=11): OS Group II (n=14): EVLA Group III (n=13): RFA Incompetent tributaries treated in all patients by phlebectomy General or local anesthesia Results at 1-day post-surgery: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> . D-dimer increased in group I compared to groups II and III (P=0.002). No difference in D-dimer between group II and III . PAI-1 decreased in group III, was unchanged in group II, and increased in group I . CRP: Highest increase was in group I Results at 10 days of follow-up: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> . D-Dimer significantly increased in group I (P=0.04) . CRP significantly increased more in group I compared with groups II and III (P=0.01) Conclusion: EVTA is associated with significantly less active hemostasis and inflammatory response compared to OS procedure</p>

Abbreviations:

CRP=C-reactive protein; EVTA=endovenous thermal ablation; EVLA = endovenous laser ablation; GSV = Great

saphenous vein; OS= Open surgery: High ligation + Saphenous stripping+/- Perforator ligation +/- tributary phlebectomy; PAI-1= plasminogen activator inhibitor; RFA= radiofrequency ablation; SSV= small saphenous vein