Table XXVIII. Radiofrequency ablation versus invagination stripping versus cryostripping 1 article, 1RCT

Operative procedure	Reference Abstracts corresponding to references can be found using the listing "RCTs by alphabetical order" or "RCTs by topic."	Summary
RFA versus invagination stripping versus cryostripping	Stötter L, Schaaf I, Bockelbrink A. Comparative outcomes of radiofrequency endoluminal ablation, invagination stripping and cryostripping in the treatment of great saphenous vein. <i>Phlebology</i> . 2006;21:60-64.	60 patients and lower limbs with GSV primary VV and reflux at SFJ Group I (N=20): RFA Group II (N=20): HL+ invagination stripping Group III (N=20): HL+ cryostripping Post-operative results: Immediate success with: 2 GSV open segments in group II vs 0 in group I and III. Results at 6 weeks of follow-up: Pain score, time to return to full activity: better in group I compared with groups II and III; P= 0.012 and P=0.014 respectively Results at 1 year of follow-up: 1 patient lost to follow-up in each group Groin neovascularization: groups I and II=0, group III=1 Segmental recanalization <10cm: group I=2, group II and III= 0 Patient satisfaction: group I> group III>group II; P=0.001 for all groups

Abbreviations:

GSV = great saphenous vein; HL= high ligation; RFA= radiofrequency ablation; SFJ= saphenofemoral junction; VV= varicose veins

© Les Laboratoires Servier

All rights reserved. No part of this document may be translated, reprinted, reproduced or used in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from Servier.