

Table XXXXXIII. Two-component compression system vs four component system in treatment of C6.

1 article, 1RCT.

Operative procedure	Reference	Summary
Two-component compression system vs four component system in treatment of C6 patient	Gillet JI, Guex JJ, Allaert FA, Avouac B, Leger P, Blaise S et al. Clinical superiority of an innovative two-component compression system versus four-component compression system in treatment of active venous leg ulcers: A randomized trial. <i>Phlebology</i> 2019;34:611-20	<p>Multicenter study. Ninety-two patient C6. Etiology primary superficial reflux, PTS No data on Anatomical or Pathophysiological status Group I (n=49) Two component BIFLEX Kit Group II (n = 43) Four-component PROFORE</p> <p>Follow-up at 16 weeks</p> <p>Results: End point: complete ulcer healing 88 patients analyzed Group I (n= 47) 48.9% healed P=0.02 Group II (n= 41) 24.5% healed BIFLEX kit was higher from both patients' and physicians' perspectives</p>