

Table XXXXXXI. **RFA versus MOCA**

3 articles, 2 RCTs

Reference underlined in color means same RCT

<p>RFA versus MOCA CLARIVEN® device</p>	<p>Bootun R, Lane TRA, Dharmarajah B, Lim CS, Najem M, Renton S, Sritharan K, Davies AH. Intra-procedural pain score in a randomised controlled trial comparing mechanochemical ablation to radiofrequency ablation: The Multicentre Venefit™ versus ClariVein® for varicose veins trial. <i>Phlebology</i>. 2016;31:61-65. DOI:10.1177/0268355514551085</p>	<p>Multi-center study. 117 symptomatic patients (119 LL) presenting GSV or SSV incompetence No previous operative treatment of VV on the same LL No current DVT. No data on CEAP class Group I MOCA (n=60) <i>versus</i> Group II RFA (n=59) All procedures under local anesthesia and completed by phlebectomy. <b>Results up to 1 month</b> . <i>Maximum pain score</i> was lower in group I compared to group II (P&lt;0.001) as well as <i>average pain score</i>. P=0.001. . <i>Occlusion rate</i> at 1 month 92% for both groups . <i>Clinical and quality of life scores</i> at 1 month, similarly, improved in both groups.</p>
	<p>Lane TRA , Bootun R, , Dharmarajah B, Lim CS, Najem M, Renton S, Sritharan K, Davies AH. A multi-centre randomised controlled trial comparing</p>	<p>Multi-center study. 170 symptomatic patients presenting primary GSV or SSV incompetence. No previous operative treatment of VV on the same LL No current DVT.</p>

	<p>radiofrequency and mechanical occlusion chemically assisted ablation of varicose veins – Final results of the Venefit versus Clarivein for varicose veins trial <i>Phlebology</i>. 2017;32:89-98. DOI:10.1177/0268355516651026</p>	<p>No precise data on CEAP class  Group I: MOCA (n=87)  Group II: RFA (n=83)  All procedures under local anesthesia and completed by phlebectomy.  <b>Per-operative pain during truncal ablation</b>  Maximum pain estimated by VAS was significantly less in group I compared to group II. P=0.003  Average pain scores were also significantly less in group I compared to group II. P=0.003  <b>Outcome at 1 and 6 months</b>  Occlusion rates, clinical severity scores, disease specific and generic quality of life scores were similar between groups</p>
	<p>Holewijn S, van Eekeren, R R J P, Vahl A, de Vries J P P M and Reijnen, MPJ. Two-year results of a multicenter randomized controlled trial comparing Mechanochemical endovenous Ablation to RADiOfrequency Ablation in the treatment of primary great saphenous vein incompetence (MARADONA trial) <i>JVS V&amp;L</i> 2019; 7:364-74</p>	<p>Multi-center study.  213 symptomatic patients presenting primary GSV incompetence (diameter (&gt;3mm and &lt;12mm). No data on SSV. Exclusion criteria: previous surgery or treatment of the ipsilateral GSV, previous DVT.  CEAP clinical classification C2-C5.  Group I: MOCA (n=105)  Group II: RFA (n=104)  <b>Outcome at 1 month and 1-2 year</b>  . Overall median pain scores during the first 14 days were lower after MOCA.  . No difference at 1month between the 2 groups in terms of minor complications.</p>

		<p>-VCSS was significantly lower at 30 days after MOCA. P= 001.</p> <p>-At 1 and 2 -year clinical success was the same in both groups, but anatomical success was less in group I compared to group II, P =0.025 and 0.066 respectively.</p>
--	--	--

#### Abbreviations

GSV= great saphenous vein; LL=lower limb; MOCA= mechanochemical ablation; RFA= radiofrequency ablation; ;  
SSV=*small saphenous vein*; VAS= *visual analogue scale*; VCCS= *visual analogic scale*  
VCSS= venous clinical severity score